Child Protection Grooming Policy

Rationale

De La Salle College have a duty of care to all students entrusted to their care to ensure their safety and protect them from any form of sexual abuse and specific behaviours and actions including grooming a child/young person with the intention to commit a sexual offence involving the child.

The Crimes Act 1958 (Vic) and the Crimes Amendment (Grooming) Act 2014 comprises the offence for grooming for sexual conduct with a child under the age of 16 years. The new grooming offence became law in July 2014.

Mission

De La Salle College is a Catholic College in the Lasallian tradition, enabling students in a community of faith and excellence to achieve their full potential with integrity and distinction.

Have great respect for each person you are with

St John Baptist de La Salle
(Part 1, Chapter 2 in the Rules of Christian Decorum and Civility)

Aim of the Policy

To educate staff as to their responsibilities relating to the Amendment providing a definition for grooming and how it can occur, to alert them to specific behaviours and actions of an offender grooming a child/young person and the impact it will have on these victims.

Policy Statement

De La Salle College is committed to providing a safe environment for all children/young people in its care that respects their dignity and ensures that all forms of abusive behaviours towards children are prevented.

Guidelines

1. The dignity of each person, made in the image of God, is a fundamental teaching of the Catholic Church and therefore of De La Salle College;
2. A safe environment is required to protect children/young people from harm and to prevent staff from abusing their position of authority and trust;
3. Grooming is a serious offence. Staff need to be informed of the criminal intent of grooming behaviours and be fully self-aware of their professional obligations and responsibilities;
4. All children/young people have the right to a thorough and systematic education in personal safety, including safety in relationships;
5. Abuse of children by persons in positions of trust or authority is a serious matter. All allegations must receive a response and be dealt with promptly as outlined in the Child Protection Policy
6. After a disclosure, any ongoing harm to the child/young person and the employee is minimised by:
   ○ maintaining active supervision
   ○ adherence to agreed procedures;
   ○ provision of appropriate social and emotional support and pastoral care;
   ○ appropriate confidentiality.
7. The child/young person’s ongoing safety and wellbeing should be the primary focus of decision making.
8. Staff commit to upholding the staff code of conduct
Definitions

For the purposes of this policy, the following definitions apply:

1. **Child and Young Person**: De La Salle College defines a child/young person as anyone who comes under or may come under the care, supervision or authority of the school.

2. **Confidential**: Being entrusted with private and restricted information that must be treated as such, both in written and verbal form.

3. **Grooming**: The term ‘grooming’ refers to actions deliberately undertaken with the aim of befriending and influencing a child, and, in some circumstances, members of the child/young person’s family, for the purpose of sexual activity with the child/young person. These actions are designed to establish an emotional connection in order to lower the child/young person’s inhibitions and gain access to the intended victim. In this respect, grooming involves psychological manipulation that is usually very subtle, drawn out, calculated, controlling and premeditated (Victorian Parliamentary Inquiry 2013). Grooming can also occur online.

4. **Grooming Behaviours**: There is no one set of actions of behaviours that are used to groom a child. Broadly speaking any action that is intended to overcome or weaken a child/young person’s personal barriers, their families or carers barriers for the purpose of engaging in sexual misconduct is seen as grooming, these behaviours may include but not limited to:
   - persuading a child/young person or group of children/young people that they have a special relationship
   - spending inappropriate special time with a child/young person;
   - inappropriately giving gifts;
   - inappropriately showing special favours to one child/young person but not other children/young people;
   - unapproved social media use;
   - inappropriately allowing the child to over step the rules;
   - testing boundaries, for example, by undressing in front of the child/young person.

Grooming occurs both before the offence in order to access the child, and after the offence to maintain that access for future abuse and ensure the child’s silence. Grooming also seeks the parent or carer’s continued trust (Randhawa and Jacobs, 2013).

5. **Duty of Care**: Staff or volunteers working in Catholic schools have a duty of care to support and protect the children/young people with whom they are professionally involved.

When staff members form a reasonable belief that a child/young person has been harmed or is at risk of harm, they are ethically bound to take action to protect the safety and wellbeing of that child/young person. For some staff members this obligation is legally mandated (cf DEECD and DHS 2010).

**Duty of care is breached if a person:**

- Engaging in any intimate or sexual contact with students;
- Developing any ‘special’ relationships with children that could be seen as favouritism (for example, the offering of gifts or special treatment for specific children or fostering close relationships outside of professional duties);
- Discriminating against any child, including but not limited to: age, gender, race, culture, vulnerability, sexuality, ethnicity or disability;
- Discussing intimate personal details with students;
- Using language that is abusive or belittles the student;
- Ignoring or disregarding any disclosed or suspected child abuse;
- Using a personal mobile phone to take photos of students;
- Using an unauthorised camera to take photos of students without College approval;
- Accessing and storing inappropriate images on College devices;
- Befriending or engaging with students on social media, via personal email, mobile device or websites;
- Allowing students to view inappropriately rated and contextually appropriate visual/audio material;
- Expressing personal views on cultures, race or sexuality in the presence of children;
- Consuming of alcohol in the presence of students on the College premises;
- Consuming of alcohol on any school tour;
- Using illicit drugs is forbidden;
- Using the same bathroom facilities as students on campus, camps or retreats;
- Female staff members must not be present in change rooms when boys are changing;
- Privately tutor De La Salle students outside of their normal teaching duties for money;
- Driving students in a private car unless sanctioned by the College and approved by the parent;
- fails to do something that a reasonable person in that person's position would do in the circumstances;
- acts or fails to act in a way that causes harm to someone to whom the person owes a duty of care;
- fails to report when mandated.

6. **Mandatory Reporter / Mandated Staff Member:** Although every staff member has a moral and social responsibility to report concerns about child abuse, some professionals are legally required to make a report to Child Protection if they form a belief on reasonable grounds that a child is at risk of suffering.

   1. a registered medical practitioner;
   2. a nurse / or midwife;
   3. a person who is registered as a teacher or an early childhood teacher under the Education and Training Reform Act 2006 or has been granted permission to teach under that Act;
   4. the principal of a Government school or a non-Government school within the meaning of the Education and Training Reform Act 2006;
   5. a police officer;
   6. on and from the relevant date, the proprietor of, or a person with a post-secondary qualification in the care, education or mind of children who is employed by, a children's service to which the Children's Services Act 1996 applies or a person who is a nominee within the meaning of that Act for the children's service;
      - on and from the relevant date, the approved provider or nominated supervisor of, or a person with a post-secondary qualification in the care, education or mind of children who is employed or engaged by an education and care service within the meaning of the Education and Care Services National Law (Victoria);
   7. on and from the relevant date, a person with a post-secondary qualification in youth, social or welfare work who works in the health, education or community or welfare services field and who is not referred to in paragraph (8);
   8. on and from the relevant date, a person employed under Part 3 of the Public Administration Act 2004 to perform the duties of a youth and child welfare worker;
   9. on and from the relevant date, a registered psychologist;
   10. on and from the relevant date, a youth justice officer;
   11. on and from the relevant date, a youth parole officer;
   12. on and from the relevant date, a member of a prescribed class of persons.

7. **Reasonable belief:** A 'reasonable belief' is not the same as having proof. A 'reasonable belief' is formed if a reasonable person in the same position would have formed the belief on the same grounds. For example, a 'reasonable belief' might be formed when:
   - a child states that they have been sexually abused;
   - a child states that they know someone who has been sexually abused (sometimes the child may be talking about themselves);
   - someone who knows a child states that the child has been sexually abused;
   - professional observations of the child's behaviour or development leads a mandated professional to form a belief that the child has been sexually abused;
   - physical signs of sexual abuse leads to a belief that the child has been sexually abused.

**Procedures**

This policy should be read in conjunction with the College's Pastoral Care and Child Protection Policies. The successful implementation of this policy will include the following:

- Regularly communicating this policy to staff;
- Educating children in personal safety and about grooming;
- Educating school staff to identify possible grooming behaviours;
- Taking action that is timely, respectful and coordinated when a staff member forms a belief that a child/young person is at risk of being harmed through grooming. The matter must be reported to Victoria Police. The matter must also be reported to the Principal unless the matter relates to the Principal, then report the matter to a member of the Executive Team.
- If a mandated staff member, reporting to DHS;
- Ensuring the child/young person and the child’s/young person’s family have access to appropriate services in order to reduce any long-term effects of the grooming abuse;
- Documenting all allegations and retaining records in a secure area, ensuring their confidentiality;
- It is the Principal’s responsibility to report the matter to the College Board, the De La Salle Professional Standards Officer and Catholic Education Melbourne if the matter relates to a staff member or a Brother.

**Expected Outcomes**

1. De La Salle College staff are informed of the criminal intent in grooming behaviours and expected to be self-aware of their professional obligations and responsibilities;
2. A thorough and systematic education in personal safety, including safety in relationships, will be provided for all children/young people;
3. Approved professional learning in providing education in personal safety will be provided to all staff;
4. All allegations of grooming will receive a prompt response and be clearly documented.
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